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Introduction

The current global security landscape is marked by the proliferation of international and intra-state conflicts, and the circulation of approximately one billion small arms and light weapons (SALW)1 worldwide has become a major source of concern for international and state stakeholders.2 The United Nations Security Council has, in particular, repeatedly drawn global attention to the risk that “terrorists benefit from transnational organised crime in some regions, including from the trafficking of [SALW].”3 More recently, the Financial Action Task Force (FATF), now under the German Presidency, will focus in the next two years on improving FATF’s understanding of the links between arms trafficking and terrorism financing, aiming to strengthen operational responses.4

ICCT has published a series of situation reports exploring the use of SALW as a source of terrorism financing,6 examining questions relating to acquisition, possession, and use of SALW by terrorist organisations across six regions; (1) the Middle East, (2) North Africa, (3) West Africa, (4) the Horn of Africa, (5) South Asia, and (6) Southeast Asia. In addition to these regional situation reports, a fourth report examined SALW trafficking, smuggling, and use for criminality by terrorists and insurgents from a historical perspective.5

While scopes and dynamics differ, terrorism and radicalisation to violent extremism have remained a significant threat across these different regions. In recent decades, high levels of political instability and violence, corruption, poor regulatory frameworks, porous borders and a weak rule of law have created a vacuum that terrorist organisations, criminal networks, and other non-state actors have been able to successfully exploit.

Figure 1. Number of weapons per capita5

---

1 The authors have adopted the EU’s definition of small arms (i.e. revolvers and self-loading pistols, rifles and carbines, sub-machine guns, assault rifles, and light machine-guns) and light weapons (i.e. heavy machine-guns, hand-held under-barrel and mounted grenade launchers, portable anti-aircraft guns, portable anti-tank guns, recoilless rifles, portable launchers of anti-tank missile and rocket systems, portable launchers of anti-aircraft missile systems, mortars of calibres of less than 100 mm, ammunition and explosives, cartridges (rounds) for small arms, shells and missiles for light weapons, mobile containers with missiles or shells for single-action anti-aircraft and anti-tank systems, anti-personnel and anti-tank hand grenades, landmines, and explosives). See: https://ec.europa.eu/home-affairs/e-library/glossary/small-arms-and-light-weapons-salw_en


4 FATF, Priorities for the FATF under the German Presidency, Objectives for FATF (2020-2022), https://www.fatf-gafi.org/media/fatf/documents/German-Presidency-Priorities.pdf


The abovementioned series of research papers have evidenced the diverse acquisition methods used by terrorist organisations to procure SALW, from diversion to craft production, procurement through cross-border and maritime trafficking or via corrupted state actors, as well as different ways through which terrorist groups make use of SALW, including to generate income (Figure 1 and Table 1). Elaborating on these findings, this final report will present the key functions of SALW used by terrorist organisations as well as a synthesis of the main trends observed across the different regions in terms of acquisition, trafficking and use of SALW as a source of finance by terrorist organisations.

### Table 1. SALW Indicators

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Middle East</th>
<th>North Africa</th>
<th>South Asia</th>
<th>Southeast Asia</th>
<th>West Africa</th>
<th>Horn of Africa</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Estimated number of firearms in the region held</td>
<td>50,539,230 (7,738,230 / 42,801,000)</td>
<td>12,367,510 (4,895,510 / 7,472,000)</td>
<td>131,247,655 (10,816,655 / 120,431,000)</td>
<td>18,964,178 (4,547,178 / 14,417,000)</td>
<td>12,759,425 (1,124,425 / 11,635,000)</td>
<td>9,413,272 (2,736,272 / 6,677,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ongoing conflicts*</td>
<td>War in Yemen; civil war in Syria; political instability in Iraq; political instability in Lebanon; conflict between Turkey and armed Kurdish groups; Israeli-Palestinian conflict</td>
<td>Instability in Egypt; civil war in Libya;</td>
<td>War in Afghanistan; conflict between India and Pakistan; Islamist militancy in Pakistan</td>
<td>Rohingya crisis in Myanmar</td>
<td>De-stabilisation in Mali; Boko Haram in Nigeria</td>
<td>Civil war in South Sudan; al-Shabaab in Somalia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sources of SALW</td>
<td>Diversion (looting, battlefield recoveries; internal circulation; unauthorised retransfers; domestic craft production; procurements of SALW via state actors)</td>
<td>Diversion (looting, battlefield recoveries, mainly from Libya); regional trafficking/smuggling; conversion</td>
<td>Post-conflict diversion (i.e. Vietnam, Cambodia); domestic craft production; capture from security and defence forces; cross-border arms trafficking</td>
<td>Post-conflict diversion (i.e. Libya, Mali); national stockpiles diversion following attacks on military positions and/or through corrupted practices; cross-border arms trafficking; craft production</td>
<td>Post-conflict diversion (mainly Libya, Sudan, Yemen); diversion following attacks on national and international armed forces; maritime arms trafficking; craft production</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SALW-related sources of income</td>
<td>Taxes, charging tariffs and transit fees; kidnapping for ransom (KFR); extortion; robberies; smuggling/trafficking of illicit goods (including oil, SALW, antiquities)</td>
<td>Smuggling/trafficking of illicit goods (SALW, cigarettes); protection services/&quot;riding shotgun&quot;, taxation of smuggling/trafficking routes; KFR</td>
<td>Illicit taxation; extortion; kidnapping for ransom; illicit trafficking (i.e. drugs, natural resource)</td>
<td>Illicit taxation; extortion; KFR; illicit trafficking (i.e. arms, drugs)</td>
<td>Provision of protection and transport services; taxes and transit fees; involvement in other illicit trafficking (i.e. migrants, drugs, contraband cigarettes); robberies (e.g. cattle abduction); extortion (e.g. gold mines); taxation; KFR</td>
<td>Sale of arms; taxes and transit fees; involvement in other illicit trafficking (i.e. charcoal, sugar, oil); racketeering and extortion (e.g. local population, aid groups); taxation (e.g. businesses, agriculture); piracy; KFR</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


---

**Key functions of SALW possessed by terrorist organisations**

Based on the abovementioned series of research papers, it is possible to distinguish a set of key functions of SALW acquired by terrorist organisations; (1) the direct and indirect use of SALW; (2) the direct and indirect use of SALW in trafficking; and (3) the direct and indirect use of SALW for financing (Table 2).

The use of SALW by terrorist organisations is commonly associated, particularly in the West, with attacks. This perception notably stems from the series of terrorist attacks carried out in the 2010s, including the 2011 Norwegian attacks (77 killed, 319 injured), the 2014 Brussels Jewish Museum shooting (4 killed), the 2015 Charlie...
Hebdo attack (12 killed, 12 injured), the November 2015 Paris Attacks (137 killed, 413 injured), and the 2016 Orlando Pulse shooting (50 killed, 53 injured).10 Globally, the past decade saw 85,148 terrorist attacks involving SALW, responsible for 193,172 fatalities worldwide.11 As a consequence, research exploring links between the small arms trade and terrorist organisations has thus far predominantly focused on the availability and acquisition of SALW by terrorist organisations. However, apart from this direct use, SALW also provide terrorist organisations with the ability to exert control over populations and territories, allowing them to extort, tax or kidnap for ransom. In this sense, SALW facilitate a series of activities that indirectly contribute to advancing terrorist groups’ agenda (indirect use).

More specifically, a similar distinction between direct and indirect uses can also be found in the role of SALW in trafficking activities. In addition to being durable, the highly strategic value of SALW resides in their dual-purpose nature. SALW in trafficking can serve as a commodity which terrorist organisations can traffic, thereby not only being end-users, but also suppliers (direct trafficking), or as a tool allowing terrorist organisations to facilitate trafficking activities carried out by others (indirect trafficking), by, for example, forcefully controlling entry points or trafficking routes. In the latter case, SALW enable terrorist organisations to generate income by providing support to actual traffickers of SALW, or other illicit goods, or “riding shotgun,” where terrorist organisations provide protection from theft and armed robbery, or provide “muscle” for, international drug shipments.12

In addition to the funds and proceeds derived from their sale (direct financing), SALW may furthermore facilitate a wide range of other (illegal) income-generating activities such as, inter alia, racketeering, extortion, taxation, or kidnapping for ransom (indirect financing). As a consequence, the destabilising impact of the illicit trafficking in SALW, in which terrorist groups may get directly or indirectly involved, has impact that extends beyond monetary value alone.13

Synthesis: Main observations and trends in the use of SALW by terrorist organisations

1. While sources of SALW are diverse, diversion seems to be, by and large, the main mechanism through which terrorist organisations have been able to obtain SALW

Across the different regions that have been analysed, a large variety of SALW are available to terrorist organisations, ranging from Warsaw Pact calibres, which originate from China, Russia and Eastern Europe,14 to

Table 2. SALW Functions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Direct</th>
<th>Indirect</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Using SALW</td>
<td>The active use of SALW to carry out terrorist attacks.</td>
<td>The facilitative use of SALW to maintain control over populations and territories.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trafficking in SALW</td>
<td>Terrorists traffic SALW themselves. In this case, terrorists are not only end-users, but are also actual sellers/suppliers.</td>
<td>Terrorists are facilitating the trafficking for others. This is the case, for example, when terrorists control entry points or trafficking routes and secure transit generally in exchange for payment from the actual traffickers, or when they provide logistical support such as transport to allow arms traffickers to continue their activities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financing of terrorism through SALW</td>
<td>Terrorists obtain funding by actively selling and trading in SALW (direct trafficking in SALW) and by facilitating others in SALW trafficking in exchange for money or other commodities (indirect trafficking in SALW).</td>
<td>Terrorists are carrying out lucrative activities to obtain funding through the use of SALW. This can take the form of KFR, taxation, extortion, armed robberies or trafficking in other illegal goods, persons or contraband.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Direct financing of terrorism through SALW is thus linked to direct and indirect trafficking of SALW.</td>
<td>Indirect financing of terrorism through SALW is thus linked to indirect use of SALW.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Iranian\textsuperscript{15} and Western manufactured arms,\textsuperscript{16} circulating for decades or imported far more recently.\textsuperscript{17}

Unsurprisingly, sources of SALW are context-specific and vary between theatres. Diversion, referring to the transfer of weapons from an authorised owner/user to an unauthorised one,\textsuperscript{18} seems to be, by and large, the main mechanism through which terrorist organisations have been able to obtain military equipment, including SALW. In various conflict theatres, including, but not limited to, Afghanistan, Syria, Iraq, Somalia, and the tri-border area between Mali, Niger, and Burkina Faso, terrorist organisations have been able to obtain military equipment, including arms and ammunition from local military security and defence forces as well as, in some instances, from international peacekeeping forces.\textsuperscript{19} Recovered from the battlefield or looted during attacks, sometimes specifically aimed at capturing such equipment, SALW originating from national stockpiles play a central role in providing terrorist groups with the necessary means to pursue their activities. Similar incidents have occurred in Nigeria, Cameroon, and Chad.\textsuperscript{20} In addition to attacks targeting the military, rampant corruption has, to some extent, facilitated the acquisition of SALW by terrorist groups, including Boko Haram.\textsuperscript{21}

Furthermore, arms and ammunition are also made available to diverse non-state actors, including terrorist groups, following the loss of state control over national arsenals. The collapse of the Gaddafi regime in Libya in particular contributed significantly to the flow of SALW, as large quantities of SALW were diverted from “one of the largest and most diverse stockpiles [...] in Africa.” These SALW not only circulated in the country itself, but across the wider region throughout the Sahel and reaching as far as Iraq and Somalia, turning Libya into a hub for the smuggling and sale of not only arms, but also migrants, drugs, and other illicit commodities.\textsuperscript{22} As a consequence, the fall of the Libyan regime provided new resources for terrorist organisations for years to come.

Diverted weapons fall in the hands of terrorist organisations directly or indirectly as they end up on illicit markets, as illustrated by weapons purchased by al-Shabaab operatives on Mogadishu markets.\textsuperscript{23} SALW diverted in post-conflict settings, as well as, to a lesser extent, craft production, are indeed believed to continue to fuel black markets across the globe, making cheap weapons available to (violent) non-state actors. In addition to diversion, the influx of SALW into fragile, conflict-struck areas is partially established by transfers and unauthorised retransfers\textsuperscript{24} of arms by states to different parties to the conflict. For example, both sides in Yemen’s civil war are actively armed, with Saudi Arabia and the United Arab Emirates exporting large amounts of weapons (both authorised and unauthorised), originating from, inter alia, a variety of Western countries, to support different militias fighting alongside government forces, while evidence of several shipments of arms destined for Houthi rebels points in the direction of Iran.\textsuperscript{25}

Craft production, including the production of homemade improvised explosive devices (IEDs), also contributes to illicit proliferation, albeit on a much smaller scale.\textsuperscript{26} Even though craft produced SALW are not the biggest supply source for terrorist organisations, it remains widespread, in particular in conflict zones across the Middle East, South Asia, and West Africa. Non-state actors, whether they be terrorist organisations, militant groups or criminal networks, tend to buy craft-produced SALW, when they, for example, have limited funds, want to conceal or avoid weapons to be traced. The FARC, IRA, Syrian rebel factions and ISIS have all, to some extent, produced SALW themselves.\textsuperscript{27} Additionally, the

Notes/SAS-Research-Note-61.pdf}


18 5 Attacks against security and defence forces have dramatically increased in the Sahel region, particularly since late 2019 with attacks conducted in Boulkessi (30 September 2019), M今年 (1 October 2019) and Indelimane (1 November 2019) in Mali, in Arbinda (24 December 2019), Namissiguia (25 December 2019), and Djibo (31 December 2019) in Burkina Faso, as well as in Inates (10 December 2019), and Chinorogar (9 January 2020) in Niger


27 Conflict Armament Research (CAR), Maritime Interdictions of Weapon Supplies to Somalia and the United Arab Emirates exporting large amounts of weapons (both authorised and unauthorised), originating from, inter alia, a variety of Western countries, to support different militias fighting alongside government forces, while evidence of several shipments of arms destined for Houthi rebels points in the direction of Iran.
conversion of alarm and blank-firing handguns is also observed in some regions, but to a much smaller extent than other forms of SALW acquisition.27 Moreover, as emerging technologies like 3D printing become more readily available and cheaper, this could indeed result in an increase in “do-it-yourself” weapons production.

2. The acquisition and use of SALW by terrorist organisations is entrenched in the nexus between terrorism and transnational organised crime

In recent years, attention to linkages between terrorist organisations and (transnational) criminal networks has increased both in academic and policy circles. Despite the ideological differences between terrorist groups and transnational organised networks, linkages between the two can manifest in many different ways. More recently, UNSC Resolutions 2462 (2019) and 2482 (2019) recognized that terrorist organisations can benefit from transnational organised crime as a source of financing. Furthermore, when such linkages between terrorism and transnational organised crime exist, they can pose a threat to international peace and security. The Global Counterterrorism Forum (GCTF), has adopted The Hague Good Practices on the Nexus between Transnational Organised Crime and Terrorism and is expected to adopt an Addendum to the Hague Good Practices focussing on the criminal justice responses to the linkages.

However, the crime-terror nexus, in particular with respect to SALW trafficking, is not a new phenomenon.28 The interaction between terrorist and organised criminal groups is multidimensional, context-specific, and constantly evolving. Generally speaking, however, the relation between the two can best be described as transactional. In Europe, terrorists rely on existing criminal networks.29 Investigations into the November 2015 Paris attacks and 2016 Brussels attacks unravelled “the involvement of some of the perpetrators in different types of serious and organised crime, including the trafficking of illicit drugs, as well as personal contacts with criminal groups involved in the trafficking of firearms and production of fraudulent documents.”30

Existing illicit trade routes across “West, Central, and East Africa, which transit through the Sahel, and into North African States with Mediterranean coastlines, overlap significantly with territory controlled by terrorist and armed groups.”31 Instability and conflict is making it increasingly difficult to distinguish between groups seeking financial and material benefits and those primarily driven by political motives. As a consequence, terrorist organisations have engaged both directly and indirectly in criminal activities, including, but not limited to, trafficking, smuggling, extortion, and the illicit trade of natural resources for financial benefits.

Similar mechanisms can be seen in the Middle East, where terrorist organisations, including ISIS and al-Qaeda, were able to integrate fighters into supply chains of weapons, drugs and other (illicit) commodities, enabling them to increase their local influence and exploit these illicit networks. Subsequently, some local communities are forced into collaborating with terrorist entities, while others actively seek alliances with terrorist or criminal organisations for more pragmatic or security reasons.

3. Synergies exist between arms trafficking and other forms of trafficking along historic trade routes

Strong interconnections between some of the regions can be seen such as the long-established historical connections that exist between certain regions. The Trans-Sahara region provides the most striking example. Centuries-old trade routes have linked North and West Africa and allowed for significant cross-border, licit or illicit, flows to take place between the two regions. This has a significant impact on the circulation of SALW throughout the broader region whose black economies have always been intimately interconnected.

Another observation that can be made in Africa, but to some extent also in South Asia and Southeast Asia, is the existence of connections between illicit arms trafficking and other types of illicit flows. Moreover, these linkages seem to result primarily from an overlap of the actors involved and the trafficking routes used. In particular in West Africa and the Horn of Africa, connections exist between trafficking in SALW and smuggling of other illicit commodities, such as drugs or contraband, as well as migrants, which is often due to the fact that the same or similar actors use (to a large extent) already established trafficking networks and trade routes.

Historically, Asia has two of the largest opium producing countries in the world—Myanmar and Afghanistan.

29 Rajan Basra, Peter R. Neumann and Claudia Brunner have conducted research on 79 European terrorists with a criminal background and concluded that prisons offer an environment for radicalisation and networking amongst criminals and extremists. Criminals develop skills that can be useful for them as extremists, such as access to weapons and forged documents. They also concluded that white-collar and petty crime is often used to finance extremism. Rajan Basra, Peter R. Neumann, and Claudia Brunner, “Criminal Past, Terrorist Futures: European Jihadists and the New Crime-Terror Nexus,” The International Centre for the Study of Radicalisation and Political Violence, 2016. https://iccr.info/wp-content/uploads/2016/10/ICCR-Report-Criminal-Pasts-Terrorist-Futures-European-Jihadists-and-the-New-Crime-Terror-Nexus.pdf
Despite the “recent” decline in opium cultivation in Afghanistan and Myanmar, the production of synthetic drugs, in particular methamphetamines have been steadily rising in Myanmar and rely on the long-established trade route in the Golden Triangle to trafficking these goods. More recently, in the spring of 2020, the largest quantity of synthetic drugs in the history of Southeast Asia was seized in Myanmar, which also included a substantial amount of weapons and underlines the synergies between arms trafficking and drugs trafficking. Southeast Asia is also prone to various forms of illicit trafficking because of its long maritime and continental borders. The Strait of Malacca, between the coasts of Sumatra in Indonesia and Malaysia, has historically been an important pathway for trade. Southeast Asia has also seriously been affected by piracy and armed robberies.

Some evidence also suggests that arms trafficking occurs by sea. In 2017, ISIS members were detained for being directly involved in trafficking of arms which were intended to be used in a terrorist attack, most likely in Malaysia.

4. Fragile environments provide fertile ground for SALW smuggling and trafficking

Terrorist organisations and transnational criminal networks have exploited and benefitted from a lack of good governance and rule of law, porous borders, high levels of corruption, weak democratic institutions and poor law enforcement. In such situations, terrorism and transnational organised crime can both flourish and fuel each other. When terrorism and transnational organised crime intersect, this can further contribute to an escalation of violence and may intensify conflicts in affected regions. Some states indicate that their national legislative frameworks against illicit trafficking and sales of arms, and on regulating dual-use goods, provide for higher criminal penalties when the unlawful purchase, storage, carrying, manufacturing, transportation, transfer, sale or use of firearms, ammunition, explosives or equipment is committed for terrorist purposes.

Due to the malevolent nature of their activities, terrorist organisations and transnational criminal networks benefit from low levels of effective governance in fragile systems, providing a “low risk environment” and socio-political conditions fertile for large-scale criminal activity. High levels of fragility are measured across different regions in the analysis, with alarming levels of state fragility in North Africa (Libya), West Africa (Chad, Cameroon, Nigeria, Guinea, Mali, Niger, Guinea Bissau, and Liberia), East Africa (Somalia, South Sudan, Sudan, Eritrea, Ethiopia, Uganda, Kenya), the Middle East (Yemen, Syria, and Iraq), South Asia (Afghanistan and Pakistan), and Southeast Asia (Myanmar) (Figure 2). Low levels of effective governance, including the rule of law, are put under further strain by high levels of political instability, economic decline, uneven economic development, and demographic pressures, which not only provide terrorist organisations and organized criminal networks with an enabling environment to further their ideological or economic goals, but also allows them to successfully bolster their recruitment efforts. In Nigeria, the lack of economic perspectives, combined with control over key access roads, allowed Boko Haram to successfully recruit young men to its cause, as they lacked alternative means for employment.

Furthermore, large swathes of (oftentimes difficult) terrain, limited state capacity, and high levels of corruption, in particular across West, Central and East Africa and parts of the Middle East and North Africa, have created a power vacuum, allowing a broad range of actors, including terrorist organisations, to increase their level of control over and make use of traditional and newly established smuggling and trafficking routes. These ungoverned spaces – or at least ungoverned by state actors –also provide both terrorist organisations and organized criminal networks with an opportunity to increase and exert their influence, allowing them to collect taxes and charge tariffs and transit fees.

An effective disarmament, demobilisation and reintegration (DDR) programme is vital for the political stability and security in a post-conflict country, and vice versa. Effective disarmament strategies can significantly reduce the number of SALW in circulation. DDR occurs in post-conflict-settings and is increasingly taking place in an environment conducive of violent extremism. Disarmament can take place through cash-back programmes, decommissioning or destruction of SALW or storing of weapons. Not all former combatants will surrender all their weapons. Nonetheless, disarmament is not only symbolic but can contribute to reducing violence and access to SALW to terrorist groups.

32 The ‘Golden Triangle’ refers to opium-producing countries in South-East Asia and comprising parts of Myanmar, Thailand, the Lao People’s Democratic Republic and Vietnam and the ‘Golden Crescent’ refers to opium producing countries in South-West Asia, including Afghanistan and parts of Iran and Pakistan.
36 Levels of state fragility are measured using twelve risk indicators: security apparatus; factionalized elites; group grievance; economic decline; uneven economic development; human flight and brain drain; state legitimacy; public services; human rights and rule of law; demographic pressures; refugees and IDPs; and external intervention. Fragile State Index, the Fund for Peace, https://fragilestatesindex.org/indicators/
5. Despite some instances of direct involvement in SALW trafficking, the use of SALW as a source of terrorist funding seems to be predominantly indirect

Throughout the regions highlighted above, a distinction can be made in terms of the use of SALW for terrorist funding. These SALW are put to use both directly, as a commodity, and indirectly, where SALW facilitates activities that allow terrorist organisations to generate an income. Examples of the latter include extortion operations, racketeering, kidnapping for ransom, and robberies.

Examples of direct and indirect financing of terrorism through SALW can be found across different regions. Arms trafficking has long been a "profitable business for organised networks" throughout the Middle East. As a result of increased circulation of SALW, it seems that various armed groups that have been obtaining large quantities of SALW “often trade [these] weapons among themselves, use them as a kind of currency, and even use them to secure informal loans.”

Several reports have found empirical evidence of SALW as a trading commodity in, inter alia, Yemen, the Sahel and Maghreb, Bangladesh, and Somalia. However, the indirect use of SALW as a source of terrorist funding seems to be much more common, as SALW enable terrorist organisations to benefit from the collection of taxes and transit fees, robberies, extortion, and kidnapping for ransom. The rise of the Islamic State, that amassed nearly €5.5 billion at the peak of its territorial control in 2015, is perhaps the most salient example of this. Holding and administering territory has enabled the group to raise millions of euro annually by collecting taxes from inhabitants, charging tariffs and transit fees, and selling pilfered oil, supplemented by a range of criminal activities, including extortion operations, racketeering, kidnapping for ransom, and robberies. In this sense, SALW serve as an enabler for ISIS and like-minded organisations to engage in a range of income generating activities.

Overall, the issue of SALW is a complex and multi-faceted problem deeply entrenched in the crime-terror...
nexus, or what has more recently been referred to as the “terrorism-arms-crime nexus.” 48 The involvement of terrorist organisations in a range of criminal activities, including illicit trafficking of commodities and contraband underlines the existence of close links between organised criminal and terrorist networks, with observers arguing that “even when they are not structurally connected, these actors interact with each other to ensure all sides benefit.” 49


About the Authors

Reinier Bergema

Reinier Bergema is a Research Fellow and Project Manager at the ICCT. Over the past five years, he worked at the interface of (actionable) research and policy for a wide variety of clients, including, but not limited to, the Netherlands’ Ministries of Defense and Foreign Affairs, the Netherlands’ National Police, NATO, and Europol. He holds a dual Master’s degree in Political Science (International Relations) and Public Administration (Crisis and Security Management), both from Leiden University. His research interests include, inter alia, foreign terrorist fighters, the terrorist threat across Europe, and quantitative research methods.

Over the years, he frequently commented and published on a variety of terrorism- and counterterrorism-related topics in different outlets, including Foreign Policy, The Independent, NRC, De Volkskrant, the International Peace Institute (IPI), Bellingcat, Studies in Conflict & Terrorism, and Clingendael’s Planetary Security Initiative. In addition to his work at the ICCT, he is part of the editorial team of Perspectives on Terrorism and a member of the General Board of the JASON Institute. Prior to the ICCT, Reinier was a strategic analyst at The Hague Centre for Strategic Studies (HCSS) and a research assistant at Leiden University’s Centre for Terrorism and Counterterrorism (CTC). He is an alumnus of the U.S. Department of State’s International Visitor Leadership Program (IVLP) and a 2018 GLOBSEC Young Leader.

Tanya Mehra

Tanya Mehra LL.M is Senior Project Leader/Senior Research Fellow at the International Centre for Counter-Terrorism – The Hague. With a background in international law Tanya is involved in conducting research, providing evidence-based policy advice, advising governments on a rule of a law approach in countering terrorism. Previously she worked at the T.M.C. Asser Instituut where she was engaged with conducting needs assessment missions, capacity building projects and training activities.

At ICCT, Tanya is currently leading a project exploring the criminal justice responses to the linkage between terrorism, transnational organized crimes and international crimes, developing a training and policy kit on a RoL base use of administrative measures within a CT context and involved in a research project exploring the trafficking of small arms and lights weapons as source of financing for terrorist organisations.

Méryl Demuynck

Méryl Demuynck joined the International Centre for Counter-Terrorism as Project Assistant in November 2019. Her work currently focuses on the prevention of radicalization and violent extremism in Mali, both inside and outside the prison context. She is also involved in a research project exploring the trafficking of small arms and light weapons as a source of financing for terrorist organisations.

Prior to joining ICCT, Méryl contributed to various research projects in the area of international peace and security. In addition to a Master thesis on the Line of Control (LoC) in Kashmir, she conducted research for the Council of Europe Counter-Terrorism Division on the radicalisation of women and children in terrorist organisations. Combining desk-based and fieldwork research, she also carried out a prospective study on the impact of nomadic peoples on the security environment in the ECOWAS region for the French armed forces positioned in Dakar, Senegal. She holds a multidisciplinary BA in Political Science, History, Economics and Law as well as a MA in European and International Relations — Internal and External Security of the European Union — from the Institute of Political Science of Strasbourg. She also completed a specialisation degree on Contemporary Sub-Saharan Africa at the Institute of Political Science of Lyon.